## OBSERVATIONS ON RACIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN ENGLAND.

By B. S. BRAMWELL.

(Continuation\*.)

In my first test I took the following names for my Northern group:—Adamson, Alderson, Armstrong, Braithwaite, Carr, Clarkson, Coulson, Crabtree, Dixon, Dobson, Farrar, Fawcett, Fenwick, Gibson, Graham, Hislop, Hobson, Hodgson, Hudson, Hutchinson, Irving, Jefferson, Jobson, Kay and Lawson. For my Welsh group I took the following:—Bevan, David, Edmunds. Francis, George, Griffith, Humphrys, James, Jenkins, Llewellyn, Lloyd, Morris, Owen, Parry, Powell, Powys, Price, Pritchard, Rees, Richards and Willis. By a fortunate chance the relative frequency of the two groups in this second series appears to be practically identical with that in the first series of names. The percentage of the Northern group in the total for Finchley is here thirty-seven and a fraction, as against thirty-eight in the first series, and for Edinburgh eighty-four, as against eighty-one. We can thus compare one series directly with the other without injustice or, where names are scanty, make a compound series which is also directly comparable.

I applied this series of names to the six larger Cambridge Tripos lists and give the results in the same form as in the case of the first

series.

	Frequer	acy of oc	currence.	Percentage of	
	Northern	Welsh	Total	Northern Group in	
	Group.	Group.	Both Group	s. Total.	
Mathematical Tripo	os 248	193	441	<b>55</b> %	
	76	97	173	44%	
Nat. Sci. Tripos	43	55	98	44%	
	22	25	47	47%	
History Tripos	10	29	<b>39</b>	26%	
Theology Tripos	12	15	27	<b>44</b> %	

Converting these figures into the relative frequency in 10,000 names and bearing in mind that the results from the smaller Tripos lists where not many names are involved, cannot be so stable as those from the three larger lists, we find the following results:—

	Frequency in 10,000 names.				
	Northern Group.	Welsh Group.			
Finchley Directory	89	151			
Mathematical Tripos	199	155			
Classical Tripos	131	168			
Natural Science Tripos	134	171			
Law Tripos	149	170			
History Tripos	81	235			
Theology Tripos	131	164			

<sup>\*</sup> The first part of this appeared in The Eugenics Review for October.

There are some points of similarity between the two series. In each Mathematics is the most frequent study of the Northern group and the least frequent in the Welsh group. In each History is a favourite study of the Welsh group. As compared with Finchley the Northern names are with one exception much more frequent at This tendency cannot be traced in the Welsh groups, Cambridge. where the frequency is more irregular. With regard to the Mathematical lists one should mention a point which tells rather against the theory of racial preference in the choice of study. The mathematical lists start as far back as 1753. In these lists the predominance of Northern names is the most marked. Now if we take the period 1753-1822 and compare it with the period 1823-1871 and the period 1872—1910 we find that the relative frequency of the first Northern group of names to the first Welsh group of names for these periods are as follows:—313 : 225, 258 : 317, 265 : 308. I think that the explanation of this change in the ratio between the first and the second periods may be due to increased facilities of travel. Perhaps there were fewer Welsh names in the earlier mathematical lists because there were fewer Welsh names in Cambridge. The ratios for the second and third periods are approximately the same. Now the other lists do not go back to this first period when there were perhaps fewer of the Welsh group in the University. So perhaps we should expect to find the Welsh group relatively more frequent in these lists. There is, however, no need to exaggerate the importance of these considerations. If we refer to the second and third periods of the Mathematical Tripos, we find that the percentage of Northern names in the total of both groups is 45% for the second period and 46% for the third period. These percentages are higher than those to be found in any of the five other Tripos lists.

Let us now look at the relative number of first, second and third class distinctions in the second series of names:—

				1st.	2nd.	3rd.
-Mathem	atical Tripe	os				
	Northern		•••	35	34	30
	Welsh	,,	•••	<b>3</b> 1	39	30
Classica	l Tripos					
	Northern	,,	•••	25	<b>3</b> 8	37
	$\mathbf{Welsh}$	,,	•••	34	47	18
Natural	Science Tr	ipos	-			
	Northern	,,		23	43	33
	$\mathbf{Welsh}$	,,	•••	23	40	36
Law Tr	ipos—					
•	Northern	,,	•••	14	27	<b>5</b> 9
	$\mathbf{Welsh}$	,,	•••	16	16	68
History	Tripos—					
· ·	Northern	,,	•••	10	40	<b>5</b> 0
	$\mathbf{Welsh}$	,,	•••	3	<b>55</b>	<b>42</b>
Theolog	ical <b>Tripos</b> -	_				
J	Northern	,,	•••	8	42	60
	$\mathbf{Welsh}$	,,	•••	20	40	40

The result in the Mathematical Tripos is similar to that in the former series, but less pronounced. In the Classical Tripos it is more pronounced, but in the Science Tripos it in no way confirms that in the previous series, and there is very little to choose between Northern and Welsh. The three smaller Tripos lists also do not confirm the former results to any marked extent.

I think, therefore, that our second summary of the position must be rather more hesitating than the first one. The Northern groups are more inclined to pursue mathematics than the Welsh groups and seem to meet with more success in this study. They are perhaps a little more inclined to study Classics, but here the Welsh groups appear to be rather more successful. The Welsh groups are more inclined to study history. This appears as far as we are justified in going on the evidence so far adduced.

There are some smaller Tripos lists which repay examination. As the number of candidates is small, I have added together the pairs of groups which were previously examined separately. In one case I have made a composite languages group, composed of the Semitic, Indian, Oriental, and Medieval and Modern Languages Tripos lists:—

	Fre	quency of o	Percentage of		
	Northe	ern Welsh	Total	Northern Group in	1
	Gro	up. Group	. Both Gro	ups. Total.	
Mechanical Science	:S			•	
Tripos	18	10	<b>28</b>	64%	
Finchley	360	613	973	37%	
Moral Sciences Tri	pos 11	30	41	27%	
Languages Group	6	20	26	23%	
I also took or	ıt from	the Cambi	idre lists	narticulars recarding	œ

I also took out from the Cambridge lists particulars regarding women. The figures refer to the first series of names only:—

13	15	28	46%
13	16	29	45%
5	8	13	39%
9	20	29	31%
5	16	21	24%
	5	5 8 9 20	13     16     29       5     8     13       9     20     29

Before passing on to consider figures drawn from other Universities, it is convenient to throw these Cambridge figures into one list. I have added a few other instances. The Northern element diminishes from above downwards and the figure in brackets is the percentage of Northern names in the total of both groups:—

## CAMBRIDGE LISTS.

Senior Wrangler, both series (73).
Mechanical Sciences Tripos, both series (71).
Mathematical Tripos, second series (55).
University Appointments (49).
Mathematical Tripos, first series (48).
Law Tripos, second series (47).
Classical Tripos, Women (46).
Mathematical Tripos, Women (45).

Classical Tripos, second series (44). Theological Tripos, second series (44). Natural Sciences Tripos, second series (44). Classical Tripos, first series (43). University members (42). Natural Sciences Tripos, first series (40). Natural Sciences Tripos, Women (39). Presidents of the Union (39). Law Tripos, first series (39). History Tripos, first series (34). Theology Tripos, first series (33). History Tripos, Women (31). Moral Sciences Tripos, both series (27). History Tripos, second series (26). Languages Triposes, Women (24). Languages Triposes, both series (23).

One must not scrutinise the percentage figure too closely, as the figures from which it is derived are sometimes large and sometimes small. There are at least two anomalous results. Otherwise the various entries from the same Tripos keep close together and the list shows a fall from the more exact to the more argumentative forms of study.

When one turns one's attention to other Universities, a preliminary question is what is the normal percentage of Northern names in the total. This naturally varies. I give a few instances:—

	No	rthern.	Welsh.	Total.	Northern P.C. in Total.
Armstrong College					
Matriculated					
Students		<b>3</b> 6	9	45	80%
Leeds B.A.s	•••	18	10	28	64%
Durham Members	••	385	371	<b>75</b> 6	<b>51</b> %
Cambridge Membe	rs	411	<b>57</b> 6	987	<b>42</b> %
Oxford Members	•••	302	<b>65</b> 6	958	<b>31</b> %
London Graduates		668	1625	2293	<b>29</b> %
University of Wale	es				
Graduates	•••	<b>30</b>	1721	1751	2%

I have also the figures for Harvard University from 1636—1915. I have had to omit the name Davies from the Welsh Group, as this spelling appears to be rare in the States. One suspects that Davies is concealed under Davis, which is common:—

Harvard ... 729 796 1535 48%

The corresponding percentages for Durham and Cambridge with Davies omitted are 54% and 44% respectively. So the Northern element in Harvard is high. Is this indicative of a greater roving disposition in the Northern element?

I gathered the following figures relating to the first series of names from the Durham University Calendar:—

	Northern.	Welsh.	Total.	Northern P.C. in Total.
Armstrong College	;			
B.Sc	47	10	57	82%
Ditto. Matric. Stu	dents 36	9	45	80%
Durham. Final H	3.A.			
Math	10	3	13	<b>77</b> %
Ditto. Members	385	371	<b>75</b> 6	51%
Ditto. Final B.A.				,
Theol	15	15	30	<b>50</b> %

The percentages in the case of Armstrong College are nearly identical with that for the general population of Newcastle. That for Durham University members is much lower. This may be an indication that the University partakes of a national rather than a provincial character. The figures for Mathematics and Theology are small, but are generally consistent with those of Cambridge.

I also took out some figures from the Oxford class lists. The period taken is from 1901 to 1914. The names are those of the first series:—

•	N	orthern.	Welsh.	Total.	Northern P.C. in Total.
Nat. Sci	•••	<b>24</b>	38	62	<b>89</b> %
Lit. Hum	•••	45	84	129	<b>35</b> %
Oxford members	• • •	302	656	958	<b>31</b> %
Math		5	14	19	26%
Jurisp	•••	14	40	<b>54</b>	<b>26</b> %
Mod. Hist	•••	30	93	123	<b>24</b> %
Theol	•••	17	<b>7</b> 8	95	18%

The Mathematical school is not in accord with our previous experience, but as the numbers in it are so small, we need not perhaps place much reliance on them. Otherwise the list closely resembles the Cambridge result. Science takes the place of Mathematics. Classics come second, and Law, History and Theology fourth, fifth and sixth in each list.

We have now considered a large volume of evidence dealing with Universities and should summarise our conclusions before passing on to consider professions and trades. There is evidence, firstly, that our method of selected names is not unsound. Taking Finchley as our standard, we find that Leeds, Durham and Cambridge show a distinctly higher percentage of Northern names, and Oxford, London University and the University of Wales a distinctly lower percentage. The relative position of Oxford and Cambridge is in agreement with Havelock Ellis' statement in "British Genius." The percentage of Northern names at the Welsh University is exactly that which I found in examining a list of Welsh International football players. Coming now to the subjects of study, we have, I think, undoubted evidence that the Northerners are more prone to study mathematics than the Welsh group. We have some evidence that this is also true of Mechanics. It is also true to a lesser extent of Classics. We have

evidence that they are better at Mathematics, but probably not so good at Classics. In Natural Science the Northerners preponderate at Durham and Oxford. At Cambridge this subject is about the border line. This may be due to the great prestige of the Mathematical school drawing to it students who at other Universities would take to the study of Science direct. Northerners are probably less inclined to study Law, certainly less inclined to study History, and assuredly less inclined to study Theology. We have some evidence that this is true also of Oriental and Modern Languages.

Let us now turn to figures from the professions. They refer to names of the first series. They are drawn from two sources, the annual year books of the professions and the London Directory. Some therefore refer to the country at large and others only to As I have no direct means of judging whether the ratio between the two groups is different, taking London and England as a whole, it might perhaps have been better to separate the figures Such evidence as I have, however, inclines me to into two lists. think that the difference is slight. In some cases the figures are large and in others small. In nine instances the figures have been standardised to frequency per 10,000; in the later figures I have not made this standardisation which is unnecessary for purposes of comparison if the percentage of Northerners in the total is given, and indeed, by obscuring the actual figures upon which this percentage is based, deprives us of a ready means of judging how much weight should be attached to any individual result. The entry Air-pilots refers to the names in a list of the first airmen to win their certificates. The actual number in the list was a little over 2,000, but the figures here given are standardised:-

		Freque	ncy of oc	currence.	Percentage of
	N				Northern Group in
Profession.				Both Groups	
Motor Engineers	L.	10	5	15	66%
Architects L.		19	15	34	<b>56</b> %
Royal College of					, -
	•••	20	18	<b>3</b> 8	<b>53</b> %
Air Pilots		246	<b>272</b>	518*	47%
	• • •	7	8	15	47%
Electrical Enginee	rs I	. 12	14	26	46%
	• • •	<b>251</b>	312	<b>563*</b>	45%
Doctors L		32	<b>3</b> 9	71	45%
Medical Directory	$\mathbf{E}.$	272	344	616*	44%
		246	<b>321</b>	<b>567*</b>	<b>43</b> %
Company Director	s.	621	835	1456	<b>43</b> %
Artists L		6	8	14	<b>42</b> %
Mechanical Engine	ers				,0
L	•••	21	30	51	41%
	• • •	209	344	<b>553*</b>	38%
Stockbrokers L.	•••	175	295	466*	<b>37</b> %

<sup>\*</sup> Standardised.

	Frequency of occurrence. Northern Welsh Total			Percentage of Northern Group in	
Profession.			Both Groups		
Royal College of					
Surgeons L	12	21	33	36%	
Government Officials	203	360	<b>563*</b>	36%	
Solicitors L	105	193	<b>298</b>	<b>35</b> %	
Dentists L	9	17	26	<b>35</b> %	
All Clergy L. ·	27	<b>53</b>	80	<b>34</b> %	
Actors	20	39	59	<b>34</b> %	
Barristers E	293	391	<b>594*</b>	<b>34</b> %	
Accountants L	23	<b>5</b> 1	74	<b>31</b> %	
Music Teachers L	6	15	21	28%	
C. of E. Clergy E	232	$\bf 624$	8 <b>56*</b>	<b>27</b> %	
Schoolmasters E	334	1061	1395	<b>24</b> %	
Barristers L	<b>25</b>	92	117	<b>21</b> %	

<sup>\*</sup> Standardised.

Several of these entries refer to the same profession; others fall into analogous groups. The only serious anomaly is the divergence of the two groups of Accountants. I think that there is a distinct resemblance to the order in the University Class Lists. Mechanics, Mathematics, Science, Law and Theology. There is certainly a descent from constructive and scientific to more argumentative and oratorical pursuits. Also possibly from the more hazardous to the less adventurous.

I next considered Trades. Here, with one exception, that of "Old Clockmakers," which is taken from Mr. F. J. Britten's book, the figures are all derived from the London Directory. The period of the Clockmakers ends in 1842 and the area from which they are taken is a wide one as it includes America. None of the figures are standardised:—

stanuaruistu .—				
	Freque	acy of oc	currence.	Percentage of
	Northern	$\mathbf{Welsh}$	Total	Northern Group in
Profession.	Group.	Group.	Both Group	s. Total.
Advertising Agents .	10	4	14	72%
Old Clockmakers	205	205	410	<b>50</b> %
Ironmongers	6	7	13	46%
Butchers	24	<b>3</b> 0	<b>54</b>	<b>45</b> %
Builders	28	37	65	43%
Printers	20	29	49	41%
Manufacturers' Agen	ts 36	<b>53</b>	89	40%
Cabinet Makers	12	19	31	<b>39</b> %
Pawnbrokers	9	14	23	<b>39</b> %
General Merchants.	29	48	77	38%
Carmen	9	15	<b>24</b>	<b>3</b> 8%
Painters	16	27	43	37%
Plumbers	7	12	19	37%
Publicans	21	<b>3</b> 6	57	<b>37</b> %
Furniture Brokers	14	<b>24</b>	<b>3</b> 8	37%
				, -

		Frequen	cy of occ	eurrence.	Percentage of
	ľ	Northern	Welsh	Total	Northern Group in
Profession.		Group.	Group.	Both Group	s. Total.
Wine Merchants		10	18	28	36%
Bakers		17	30	47	36%
Hairdressers		19	36	55	<b>35</b> %
Confectioners		33	65	98	<b>34</b> %
Chandlers		57	115	172	<b>33</b> %
" Apartments "		41	83	<b>124</b>	<b>33</b> % •
Chimney Sweeps		6	13	19	32%
Tailors		40	95	135	30%
Tobacconists		19	47	66	<b>29</b> %
Bootmakers		<b>34</b>	90	124	<b>28</b> %
Newsvendors		11	33	44	25%
Chemists		14	47	61	<b>23</b> %
Drapers		21	71	92	<b>23</b> %
Greengrocers		10	33	43	<b>23</b> %
" Private Hotels	<b>,,</b>	6	20	26	<b>23</b> %
Grocers		18	80	98	18%
Diningroom Keep	ers	5	28	33	15%
Fishmongers		f 2	21	23	<b>9</b> %
Dairymen		9	350	359	<b>2</b> %

The list generally is instructive. As we work down it, we pass from constructive trades more and more into a region of pure retail. The position of butchers is of interest, as it has been stated that butchers tend to be fair and shoemakers to be dark. (See British Genius, p. 86.) It is commonly said that butchers are a prosperous folk and rarely go bankrupt, whereas with drapers the reverse is said to be the case. The position of dairymen puzzled me so much that I thought there must be some error. The possibility of multiple shops occurred to me, though I could not trace their existence to any marked extent in the Directory. To clear it up, I tested the matter with my second series of names and obtained exactly the same percentage. is clear therefore that this industry is very largely in the hands of the Welsh group. Speaking generally, the conclusions to be drawn from this list are analogous to those already drawn from the professional and University lists. We might perhaps sum the matter up by saying that in pursuits where proficiency depends on mechanical or constructive skill, the Northern element appears prominent, but if proficiency depends on the spoken word or argument or persuasion, then the Welsh element is more strongly represented than one would anticipate. I think, too, that we might note as a hypothesis worthy of examination that the rewards in the pursuits favoured by the Northern group are more certain; the pursuits favoured by the Welsh group are more precarious, though success in them offers big prizes.

This finishes my detailed examination of University Class lists, Professions and Trades. I have, however, some other lists of figures which may be used for the purpose of testing the conclusions which I have drawn. The first is of a miscellaneous character:—

N	orthern.	Welsh.	Total.	Northern P.C. in Total.
Present Fellows				
Royal Society	10	7	17	<b>59</b> %
Federation of British				70
Industries	45	35	80	<b>56</b> %
Bank Directors	<b>25</b>	23	48	<b>52</b> %
Patents, Holders of.	460	<b>429</b>	889	<b>52</b> %
Royal Academicians	4	4	8	<b>50</b> %
Chambers' Biog.				
Dictionary	<b>7</b> 9	87	166	48%
F.R.S. Found.—1912	77	86	163	47%
Nat. Union of				
Manufacturers .	30	39	69	<b>43</b> %
Nat. Dict. of				
Biography	443	650	1093	41%
Royal Astronomical				
Society	17	<b>25</b>	42	40%
Who's Who	184	<b>3</b> 06	490	38%
Court Directory	175	288	463	38%
Telephone Directory	230	408	638	36% .
Members of Parlia-				
ment, Jan., 1922.	<b>22</b>	41	63	<b>35</b> %
Members of Parlia-				
ment, 1923	21	40	61	35%
Members of Parlia-				
ment, Jan., 1924.	16	33	49	<b>33</b> %
Titled, Landed and				
Official Classes, 192		116	180	<b>35</b> %
Justices of the Peace,				
_ L.C.C	13	25	<b>3</b> 8	<b>34</b> %
Trinity College of				
Music, Licentiates				
and Associates	172	349	<b>52</b> 1	33%

Here again we notice the same descent from the more scientific and exact qualities. The position of the J.P.s is very similar to that found for legal pursuits in other lists. It will be noted that the percentage for the Telephone Directory comes out at two points less than that for Finchley residents. As the numbers involved are in each case substantial, I verified this observation by means of my second list of names. I there found that the Telephone percentage came out four points lower than the Finchley percentage.

As a further test for some of these conclusions, I turned my attention to the staffs of some of the Government offices. I took two where one would conclude that the characteristics peculiar to those pursuits which the Northern group appear to favour would be of advantage, namely, the Examiners and Staff of the Patent Office and the Engineering Staff of the Post Office, and compared them with the clerical staff of the Board of Education where such characteristics

would not be of the same advantage. The numbers are small, but the result is certainly confirmatory:—

				Northern P.C. in
	Northern.	Welsh.	Total.	Total.
Patent Office .	15	13	28	<b>53</b> %
Engineers' G.P.O.	. 14	16	30	47%
Board of Education	n 5	10	15	<b>33</b> %

During the month of November there have been published in the "Times" the names of the successful candidates in examinations for the four following professional bodies: Institute of Civil Engineers, Incorporated Society of Accountants, The Law Society and the Bar. I give the figures:—

	No	rthern.	Welsh.	Total.	Northern P.C Total.	. in
Institute of	Civil					4.1
Engineers	•••	11	8	19	· <b>58</b> %	
Incorporated So	ciety				*	
of Accountan	ts	13	20	33	<b>39</b> %	÷
Law Society	•••	8	25	33	25%	1
Bar Exams.	•••	3	26	29	10%	

These figures, I think, furnish a strong confirmation of my argument.

It is sometimes argued that as so much intermixture has taken our island that there can be little possibility tracing racial differences. The argument takes this Twenty-five generations back one could have had thirty-three million separate ancestors. At that period the population of these islands was probably in the neighbourhood of six millions. Therefore the probability is that everyone is descended from everyone alive at that time who has now living descendants. This is pure conjecture. It is incapable of proof or disproof. No one will ever know more than a very small fraction of their possible 66,000,000 ancestors in the last 25 generations or all the people alive in England 700 years ago. If the material were available it would take a large Government Office months to collate it. We can, however, get some interesting sidelights on the subject from the study of genealogies. Whatever the answer to the above conjecture may be, genealogies show us that we are probably descended many times over and through many different lines of descent from the same individual. I have one in my possession relating to people alive at the present day. An ancestor who died in 1606 was descended five times over from a man and his wife who died about 1250. Now this ancestor who died in 1606 only forms an eight thousandth part of the present day people's pedigree and of his pedigree back to 1250 I only possess about one two hundredth part. In this one million six hundredth part these names appear five times, how many times may they not appear in the whole circle of twenty-fifth generation ancestors? I think that by asking the aid of some of the Genealogical societies we might be able to obtain very interesting information on the amount of intermarriage in certain cases. It should be possible to work out pedigrees of Royalty and some of the Nobility fairly completely in every direction for the last ten generations.

An argument which appears to me to have more force is that we may in the last hundred years have started to fuse at a rapid rate. I think that I have produced some evidence to show that fusion is not complete. By a study of, say the London Directories, during the last hundred years, we might be able to throw some light on this problem. Are the various surnames becoming more uniformly distributed in the various occupations?

Let us conclude by trying to summarise the whole matter. We have found that the lists of names used are typical of geographical areas. When these lists are applied to occupations carried on in some neutral area such as Cambridge University, the County of London or England as a whole, distinctive mental characteristics are noticeable in the two groups. These differences suggest that racial fusion has not as yet been completed in our country, so far as mental qualities are concerned. It is difficult to believe that environment can account for say the curious position with regard to dairymen in London. One naturally asks "What environment?" Ex hypothesi it cannot be that of London which is identical for the two groups. One concludes that it must be due to race, but it is argued against this conclusion that we must not regard our population as made up of two types, that there is every gradation of long-head to be found amongst us between the pure Nordic and the pure Mediterranean, and that many of these long-heads have not arisen from those races at all. Also that in addition there is a considerable percentage of broadheaded people. This may well be without affecting my argument. Such scanty material as I had available in the Cambridge names disclosed a large percentage of brachicephalic types. But I have no status to argue on anthropological matters. My point is that I chose the names of my Northern group from that part of the country which was greatly subjected to incursions of Angles and Danes in historic times, and applying these names to occupations in neutral areas, I have demonstrated mental differences when they are compared with names drawn from Wales and the Welsh border. If these names cover a large fraction in each group which is identical racially, then deducting this common fraction one would imagine that the differences so far from disappearing would be still more marked.

It would be easy to exaggerate the mental differences found. At the same time it is claimed that they are quite distinctive. In the one case a greater tendency towards construction, creation and such exercises as yield "fruit" in the Baconian sense and in the higher planes the pursuit of a train of thought a step or two further than most can; in fact, a slightly greater tendency to exercise pure reason. In the other case, the oral word, argument, the appeal to the emotions. The one slightly inclined to look forward, the other slightly inclined to look back. We have seen that more Senior Wranglers and Fellows of the Royal Society than we should anticipate come from the Northern source. One would expect to find more Welsh names on the Judicial Bench. As regards the other Pench, I found in a list of Bishops of the last eighty years seven Welsh

names as against two Northern. Now, if these differences can be discerned between groups which are not racially pure and not racially far removed from each other, what may we not anticpate where the distinction between two races is marked.

I hope at some future time to follow up this investigation with a study of some physical and moral qualities, such as the incidence of various diseases, longevity, crime in its various branches, suicide, immorality as instanced in the Divorce Courts, bankruptcy, mental defect and pauperism. At present my facts regarding these subjects are too few to warrant publication.

568 EUGENICS REVIEW.													
TetoT: All mames tail ni benimaze	1650 1632	750 1605 545 215	17546 ', 21200 ', 28500 ', 7360 ', 2052 ', 2052 ', 1350 660	60000 E 675 48672 338 447 221 520 515									
Total both Groups	48 80 69	63 34 124 61 19 410	999 394 1667 292 139 589 14 89 14	1843 44 267 33 1245 33 38 19 115									
IstoT deleW quorĐ	35 53	41 15 83 47 13 205		1082 33 238 238 25 8									
smsilliW	m ∞ • °	8 11 3 3 18 18	89 89 112 1165 16 3 3 73 1 11 111 3	159 26 26 6 6 6 6 8 18 18 3 2 8 3 8 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8									
Thomas	4 11 0	2   2   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	26 8 58 15 15 15 15 8 15 8 15 8 15 8 15 8	60 8 1 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 1									
Roberts	61460	8   8   14   14   14   14   14   14   14	47 5 81 10 10 2 24 24 1	67 4 4 4 10 10 10 30 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
Phillips	1000	13 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	40 40 77 175 175 19 6 19 19 19	68 1 12 1 12 1 13 1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
Мотgan	61 66 61 -	3 3 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	29 29 41 13 13 13 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	59 3 15 15 17 17									
Lewis	88 6	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	29 29 141 144 144 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	71 12 14 17 17 11 12 2									
Jones	73 4 6 7	6 115 8 8  39	112 16 198 12 3 97 11 11	205 6 29 4 4 4 4 1 1									
$\mathbf{H}$ ughes	2 -	1 4 1 1 8 8	63 44 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	8 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
віттвН	8 1 4 -	1 1 8 4   48 82	44 8 8 1129 9 9 113 113	109 3 114 129 29									
Easus	61 00 -	1 1 7 7 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	63 63 63 64 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	8 8 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
Edwards	1 1 2	1 8 8 17 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	68 61 61 16 16 17 18 18 18	108 11 11 11 19 19 1 2 2									
Davies	4 - 3 0		84 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	30 30 119 119 129 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 1 1 1 1									
Per cent. of Northern in Total, both groups	56 	6 50 23 33 66 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	38 80 80 80 84 842 842 842 842 843 844 845 844 845 844 845 845 845 845 845	41 25 45.5 45.5 28 28 29 29 21 22 23									
Total Morthern Group	25 45 30	22 19 41 14 6 205	390 317 567 123 107 20 10 36 6	761 11 95 15 1007 13 8 8 11 7 7									
nosliW	6 10 1	5   5   5   5	66 66 58 99 99 22 21 1 1 7 7	138 29 29 453 453 2 2 3 3									
Walker	5 9 1	2 9 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	45 45 75 21 21 1 1 2 3	100 21 2 208 1 1 1 1 1									
Thompson	14	. 4 8 8 8 8 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	52 74 67 111 7 7 8 8	92 2 10 10 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1									
Robinson	es 44 61 61	1   8   2 4 6	933 933 933 933 933 933 933	83 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
Tobason	0 9 1	9   1   9   121	52 21 66 66 8 8 2 2 1 1 1	421 8 12 24 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
1sckson	4   4 0	2 2 4   1 2 7	44 24 69 8 8 11 1 7	69 1									
IlaH	c1 × v +	6 3 3 1 1 14	58 40 86 16 10 3 - 1	120 1 13 2 2 104 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
Bell	 w 4 4 w	1	. 24 . 45 . 37 . 16 12 4 1	35 1 1 138 138 1 138 1 1									
	bers.			nd L									
	:: mbers Mem	::::::		3 inps.an i., Nov i., Nov. i., Nov.									
	es Me turers 21	ry) ;; ;; ritten)	:::::::::	901-2 y Con na! xams . 1923 :ams.									
	 lustri nufaci t, 195	rector s	: : : : (8. : : : : : : ans	en), 1  chieff Natio  coc. E  Nov.  rs Ex									
	 sh Ind of Mai	on Di ers of iggist s (F.	ive sident sident rs rs gents ublic	.1 Gre									
	ors . Britis nion c Parli	Lond Lette d dru reeps faker	free free free free free free free free	ethna rs rd rd rd rd rd rd s Inc y Exe ril En Lond tels									
	Direction tion al Ur	ects ( nent, sts an ey Sw ock M	ey stle Ily D. , 19 priva ea tising actur:	rs (Bernado rrs Megraph argh ratable responsable respo									
	Bank Directors Federation British Industries Members National Union of Manutacturers Members Members of Parliament, 1921	Architects (London Directory) Apartment, Letters of ,, Chemists and druggists ,, Chimney Sweeps ,, Old Clock Makers (F. J. Britten) Cardiff (Directory)	Finchley ,, Newcastle ,, Mentally Defective B.A.C., 1914 York (private residents) Swansea ,, Advertising Agents Manufacturing Agents Artists Beer Retailers, Publicans	Paupers (Bethnal Green), 1901-23  Newsvendors  Printers Medical Aid, chiefly Comps. and L.P. Lithographers, 1911, National  Edinburgh  Accountants Incorp. Soc. Exams., Nov. 1923  Law Society Exams., Nov. 1923  Inst. of Civil Engineers Exams., Nov. 1923  Surveyors (London)  Private Hotels									

,	יםנ	10	2D	V	A	11	U.	LN Z	, ,	JГ	, I		CI	Αį	_	CI	1.	71	LA	·CI	171	LI	J.		,,,	•			,	
Total: All names in list examined	1220	658	1550	845	3000	1080	1080														12477	228	1479	1238	912	3127				
Total both Groups	85	38	22	13	22	23	54	888	1456	521	1395	1093	756	22	45	958	987	1749	88	2293	701	354	95	8	69	204		269	400	856
Total Welsh Group	22	54	36	2	48	21	30	429	835	349	1061	650	371	10	6	656	929	1719	10	1625	361	200	8	20	46	122	;	367	281	204
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	7:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:			:	:	:	:	:	:		:	:	:
smsilli <b>W</b>	œ	J.C	4	_	9	1	အ	92	104	33	151	85	53	က	01	92	74	278	တ	230	54	88	<b>G</b>	ro	12	16	,	51	200	36
Thomas	4	-	<b>03</b>	I	67	1	-	26	80	39	111	38	25	61	01	28	54	178	1	160	20	13	4	70		12		2 5	8	16
Roperts	ī.C	67	က	-	67		63	9	61	13	68	43	18	1	1	37	54	95	1	105	25	20	9	4	1	œ		31	S S	80
Phillips	တ	63	9	-	ı.c	11	-	37	22	23	58	40	31	ļ	ļ	35	40	40	1	80	59	14	00	4	4	<b>0</b> 0		16	3	28
могдап	61	1	1	-	1	-	!	15	39	17	48	39	17	-	l	59	26	20	П	9	17	9	7	1	01	23		12	2	27
siw9.I	<b>00</b>	4	4	I	ъ	က	က	28	26	20	99	41	15	1	-	31	35	8	1	8	22	12	4	4	1	9		32		
Jones	15	2	က	67	6	-	67	74	158	29	218	139	75	1	١	106	85	400	-	350	71	25	10	10	20	23		74	27	137
Hughes	67	١	-	١	4	61	Н	6	33	14	90	34	30	4	73	42	23	85	4	20	35	6	<b>C</b> 1	2	က	5		21	18	35
аіттвН	æ	ī	00	1	က	١	11	87	43	34	27	20	19	1	-	21	38	11	_	80	17	11	01	4	67	ıc.		13		
Evans	. 2	I	Н	-	Т	1	I	53	74	33	101	55	25	1	l	92	53	172	1	160	35	24	4	6	1	14		22	41	28
Edwards	-	1	67	l	9	I	4	24	55	35	45	37	16	I	ļ	44	31	8	١	20	59	18	-	I	က	2		56	20	56
səivad	12	١	-	l	4	1	61	53	22	35	137	52	47	l	1	85	26	240	ı	190	25	20	9	6	2	15		40	35	91
in Total, both groups	:	:	:	:	: :	: :	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:		:	:	:
Per cent.	63	37	35	46	88	6	45	52	43	33	24	41	57	85	8	31	42	61	99	6	i		l	1	1	i		35	34	27
Total Northern Group	ಣ	14	19	9	29	61	24	460	621	172	334	443	385	47	36	302	411	30	18	899	341	154	37	31	23	85		202	203	232
nosliW	. 20	-	ro	2	n 65		-	66	121	27	99	29	9	11	9	53	22	က	3	125	75	39	9	9	2	15		26	36	42
Walker	. თ	<b>C1</b>	63	8	ı 65	1	2	69	130	17	39	56	41	Н	П	45	62	10	œ	22	45	22	7	z	-	16		25	35	31
цовфиои		67	-	1	61	1	-	38	29	21	55	33	22	6	9	46	51	2	Ø	8	51	27	9	2	61	16		23	35	58
повиі ф	4	i	4	I	9	,	က	45	61	22	50	64	55	4	2	38	49	9	-	95	39	13	œ	61	2	12		38	16	35
lohnson	. 61		4	¢.	1 00	,	က	99	61	30	30	64	20	9	က	33	64	-	1	85	38	20	87	တ	ဇာ	က		41	50	58
Тасквоп		ÇI	1	I	2	. –	-	36	<del>7</del> 9	13	59	4	44	87	က	35	45.	က	-	5	39	13	9	67	ဇာ	9		21	31	53
IlsH	. 01	70	-	ŀ	7.	, 1	2	99	22	25	38	62	42	œ	rc.	33	37	61	1	95	27	2	Т	က	67	7		13	17	25
Bell		-	01	١.	rc	,	4	41	42	17	20	14	38	9	5	58	26	1	i	33	33	13	Н	က	1	2		15	10	. 18
	:	:	: :		:	: :	: :	: :	:	ic				:	:	:	:	:		: :	:	:	:	:	:	:		:	:	:
	:	:	: :	:	:	: :	: :	: :	:	Associates, etc., Trinity College of Music		:	: :	: :	:	:	:	:		: :	:	:	:	:	:	:	Ţ	:	:	:
	:	:	: :	:	:	: ر	;	: :	:	llege (		:	:	: :	:	:	:		: :	: :	:	:	:	:	:	:	0,000	:	:	:
	:	:	: :	:	:	 Jews		:		ty Co	È	e duc			<u>ء</u>	: :		: :				:	:		:	:	d to 1		:	ford
	-	<b>5</b> 7	,			Tishmongers (not excl. Jews)		852	Directory of Directors	Trini	Gahaalmaatara Directory	Not Diet Bion Enitome	ì,	Armstrong Coll.: B.Sc.	Matric		ers			Lond. Univ. Graduates							Professions standardised to 10,000:—	citors		Clergymen, Crockford
	:	roker		•	, chant	(not		617-1	Dire	etc.,	5	200	mbers	Į,		hera	Vemb	19108		Gra		•	•			•	stand	London Solicitors	ere .	nen,
	en	ire Bi	SASPIR	TO DO	Mer.	nogene	2	2 9	TV of	ttes,	, and a	10401	Me.	) aud	0	Men.	idoe	oradi	A 4	Univ	Trin	rio.		: :	: :	: ;	ions	nopu	Barristers	ergyn
	Drapers	Furniture Brokers	Hairdressers	Tropmondera	Houmongers General Merchants	ishmo	Rutchera	Patentees 1617-1852	irecto	ssocia	1004	, to 10	Nav. Divi. Divis. 1 Durham Members	rmstr		oxford Members	Cambridge Members	Wales graduates	Toods B A 's	ond.	Math Trin	Class Trip.	T,a.w	Hist	Theol	Nat. Sci.	rofess	ı	Ã	ີ້ວ
	D	Æ	Щ	1 -	7 0	5 F	, μ	ıρ	, -	¥	ò	2 2	4 6	4 ₹	1	•	י כ	) 5	-	4 H	2	10	, 1	1 12	-	1 74	Į			

in list examined							995	505	695		1440			25	245	35	•	1650												
TotoT:														• •																
Total both Groups	616	567	2 2	553	518	466	328	28	33	43	65	88	33	74	21	31	61	8	54	47	124	135	26	88	72	43	99	83	88	172
Total Welsh Group	344	321	: 98	344	272	291	350	17	28	27	37	18	21	51	15	19	40	53	30	30	œ :	95	14	80	71	. 33	47	. 18	65	116
amsiliiW	្រូវ		4 0	20	48	33	26	-	1	4	4	4	4	2	67	67	2	9	က	œ	11	2	2	11	6	ro	4	တ	9	14
asmodT	83	2 2	5 5	27	18	9	15	ı	က	-	9	1	_	4	87	က	တ	2	-	Н	œ	9	01	2	4	ဇာ	တ	1	တ	10
Roberts	ကင်	8 2	4 6	2 2	18	55	rc	67	က	1	3	-	01	9	-	-	4	4	01	61	3	4	1	į	20	1	4	-	œ	4
Phillips	21	97	: 2	8 8	6	14	9	1	61	61	4	67	-	က	61	4	П	က	-	-	œ	6	ı	2	4	-	!	01	ß	11
Morgan	14	er c	3 2	17	35	<b>∞</b>	39	67	İ	က	-	1	1	4	-	1	-	တ	I	I	က	4	-	9	67	I	-	-	1	2
Lewis	19	17	; <u>«</u>	19	26	22	17	1	က	-	-	1	-	ū	İ	1	-	က	က	63	12	13	-	9	œ	4	2	-	9	9
Lones	88 7	4, 4	8	57	26	33	66	2	2	67	9	က	4	4	1		2	10	87	က	15	14	67	17	14	2	œ	01	12	22
Ниghes	19	6 5	6	8	22	87	6	-	Н	1	က	1	П	61	61	1	1	4	-	. 61	Н	67	l	-	61	1	4	63	61	4
sittsH	52 6	3 %	22	25	55	36	က	2	က	70	4	1	1	1	က	က	-	1	==	.4	13	18	١	4	20	20	œ	-	10	==
Evans	88 8	34	8	80	13	87	54	İ	67	67	-	-	4	2	I	က	61	2	I	1	က	2	-	œ	2	67	20	ı	4	13
Edwards	18	3 6	55	25	55	36	22	ļ	87	2	1	l	I	က	١	-	4	67	4	70	6	67	-	က	-	4	-	01	8	9
89iv&G	33	3 5	55	23	13	18	20	j	4	87	-	က	က	7	61	I	00	4	01	61	67	6	-	9	10	က	4	တ	တ	~
in Total, both groups	:	:	: :	: :	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
Per cent. of Morthern	44 8	38	45	38	47	37	01	35	15	37	43	53	36	31	28	39	35	34	45	36	78	30	46	18	83	53	53	36	34	88
Total Northern Group	272	203	251	209	246	175	6	6	ro	16	<b>58</b>	20	12	53	9	12	21	22	24	17	34	40	12	18	21	10	18	2	83	22
nosliW	86 8	3 5	46	35	75	47	<b>C3</b>	I	İ	4	61	2	တ	2	-	4	7	2	1	4	2	က	_	တ	ō	-	2	01	Π	0
Walker	49	26	37	25	22	33	1	-	67	61	4	01	61	က	-	က	-	01	2	63	67	4	63	1	က	-	က	١	I	~
Трош <b>р</b> вои	800	2 2	31	22	18	17	63	1	İ	61	4	-	_	-	İ	67	1	ō	-	4	တ	က	1	67	-	<b>63</b>	တ	-	10	10
Robinson	31	31	28	31	26	14	_	l	63	-	32	4	61	1	က	١	67	01	က	I	10	10	67	61	20	I	က	4	က	•
Tohnson	27	20 20	31	30	13	19	1	4	-	. <b>61</b>	8	_	01	-	1	1	Н	67	တ	20	4	œ.	01	4	03	67	4	-	-	ò
<b>Тасквоп</b>	22	17	25	20	22	17	-	1	1	67	က	I	İ	3	1	61	01	2	-	ı	ଷ	တ	-	4	က	7	I	I	٠.	œ
IlaH	27	2 2	28	30	48	25	61	က	1	67	က	61	1.	က	-	!	4	က	4	67	6	2	01	က	01	61	-	-	₩ (	œ
Bell	. 20	: :	25	16	. 22	ო :	! :	:	! :	:	: 1	ლ :	:	:	:	:	ლ :	ი :		:		:	:	i :	! :	:	:	:	ea (	
	:	·· rict)	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:			·
	: :	່ າ Dist			lots)				ā	:	:	nopu	lon																	
	•	opuo	•	•	00 Pi	•	•	٠	Londo		•	18, Lo	Lond	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	don.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	and	ory (I	:	:	st 2,0	: e	:	: '	ers, ]	:	:	sician	geons,	: '	nopu	nopuc	:	_	:	:	:	:	, Lon	:	:	۲ :	: ਫ਼	nopu	: 8	:
	Engle st	irecto	nts	:	s (Fir	chang	nopuo	don	Keer	nopu	dop	f Phy	f Surg	Lond	a, L	E,	:	nopuc	don	: u	opuo,	ď.	gineer	л :	u o	Londc	Lond	, 당,	Long	:
	Doctors England Army I ist	Official Directory (London District)	Accountants	χ.	Air Pilots (First 2,000 Pilots)	Stock Exchange	a, Ļ	i. Lon	Koom	3, Lon	3, Lon	oll.	oll. o	ants,	eache	Make	1922	gy, L	, Lon	Condo	ker, L	Condo	al En	Londe	Lond	cer,	nist,	rchar	oner,	
	Doc	O W	Acc	Navy	Air	Stoc	Dairymen, London	Dentists. London	Dining-Room Keepers, London	Painters, London	Builders, London	Royal Coll. of Physicians, London	Royal Coll. of Surgeons, London	Accountants, London	Music Teachers, London	Cabinet Makers, London	M.P.'s, 1922	All Clergy, London	Butcher, London	Baker, London	Bootmaker, London	Tailor, London	Electrical Engineer, London	Grocer, London.	Draper, London	Greengrocer, London	Tobacconist, London	Wine Merchant, London	Confectioner, London	Chandler